

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/772,137	Applicant(s) NOSAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner Marcus Charles	Art Unit 3682	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Marcus Charles. (3)_____.

(2) John Mortimer. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 February 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,36,38,40 and 41.

Identification of prior art discussed: Noguchi (5,779,584).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


MARCUS CHARLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER 2/26/04

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: An agreement was reached to amendment claim 1 by including the depth range of the inscribed marking; in claim 38 by including the marking extending to the pulley engaging side surface portion and claim 41, the indentation goes into the load carrying member. Note claim 40 is considered allowable over the prior art, claim 36 must be canceled and the specification must include the unexpected results of the novelty of the claimed invention. However, such amendment will overcome the prior art but further search and consideration is needed.